WP3

3.4 Guideline on partnership's quality



Contents

Partnership's Quality Criteria	4
Examples of questions to assess the sustainability of the partnership against EWF Quality Assurance Criteria	. 13
Examples of questions to assess the sustainability of the partnership against the European Council Recommendation	. 19
Additional Questions	36
Conclusion	42
References	43



Introduction

Sustainability is a key-word raised and widely discussed across Europe in education policies and practices, especially, in those policies and practices dealing with work-based learning. [1] A systematic cooperation between vocational education and training (VET) centres and companies is something pursued by educational systems and by the sectors themselves. The welding sector is starting to trail that path in a more consistent and regulated and harmonised way.

This document aims to present the criteria to assess the quality, engagement and sustainability of the partnership established to implement work-based learning schemes in each country (UK, ES, HU, IT, PT, RO) at local and regional levels. The ultimate goal is to collect feedback from each type of organisation and roles involved in the process to assess the long-term viability of partnerships. Those type of organisations are the Authorised Nominated Bodies (ANBs), Authorised Training Bodies (ATBs) and the Companies. Within these organisations the feedback collected will be provided by representatives from the ANB, the ATB (tutor), the company (mentor) and the trainee.

The criteria presented as baseline to perform this assessment is stemming from two documents: 1) the Quality Assurance Guideline (Deliverable 2.1) which establish some principles for the work-based learning being recognised by the EWF's quality assurance system and EWF's membership; and 2) the <u>Council Recommendation on a European Framework for Quality Effective Apprenticeships</u> (2018).

Both scopes of criteria that are presented in the next pages are not applicable to all stakeholders involved had being selected the type of organisations and representatives to assess them, according to the role and relevance and exposure to each criterion throughout the process.



Partnership's Quality Criteria

Table 1 below shows the criteria from the Quality Assurance Guideline, deemed relevant to be assessed through this mechanism and the arguments/ideas that support the selection of what is either applicable or not.

Table 1 - Criteria to assess the quality of the partnership

Criteria	Applicable?	Argumentation supporting the applicability
E	WF's Qualitay Assurance Guideline	for Recognition of Work-based Learning
1. General quality of the partnership	Applicable	Its's required to perform this assessment to capture what needs improvement at local and regional level.
2. Tutors	Applicable	Tutor is a role envisaged in this route, as a pedagogic element from the Authorised Training Centre (ATB).
3. Mentor	Applicable	Mentor is a role envisaged in this route, as a pedagogic/professional element from the company.



Criteria	Applicable?	Argumentation supporting the applicability			
4. In-company trainer	Applicable	In-company trainer is a role envisaged in this route, as a pedagogic/professional element from the company.			
5. Facilities	Applicable	The compliance of the company with health and safety requirements of the guideline in the company and with ISO standards.			
6. Equipment	Applicable	The compliance with health and safety requirements and have available all the equipment necessary to undertake in-company training (D. 2.1 – Quality Assurance's Guideline on Apprenticeships).			
7. Supporting tools	Applicable	The tutorials expected to be developed under work package 4 to explain the quality assurance rules, requirements, rights and obligations of each major stakeholder involved in this route (ATB, company and trainee) and the platform, within this one the tutorials.			



Criteria	Applicable?	Argumentation supporting the applicability
	European Council R	ecommendation (2018)
1. Written Contract		A three-folded-party contract is part of the documentation developed under this project (D.2.1 – Quality Assurance Guideline) and (D.3.5 – Kit of documents (practical arrangements)).
2. Learning Outcomes		The intended learning outcomes to be achieved are presented in the deliverables 1.2 – Guideline with selected learning outcomes and 2.1 – Quality Assurance Guideline, mirroring key-competences necessary for the development of welding coordination activities and promote vertical career progression (from Practitioner to Specialist).
3. Pedagogical Support		During the design of the framework that will allow to operate the work-based learning scheme, the mentor, tutor and in-company trainer are roles that will be engaged, in order to ensure feedback is provided to the trainees and continuous monitoring and assessment of the learning process in a company setting.



Criteria	Criteria Applicable? Argumentation supporting the applicabi	
4. Workplace Component	Applicable	The 252 EWF's Guideline – Welding Coordination was reshaped and redesigned have more clearly stated job functions and job activities comprehending a workplace component for all the competence units, but also inside each competence unit, dedicated learning outcomes that can be assessed in this setting (Deliverable 1.2 – Guideline of the eligible learning outcomes).
5. Pay and/or Compensation	Not Mandatory according to EWF's Quality Assurance Guideline	_
6. Social Protection	Applicable	National legislation in what refers to social protection should be applied.
7. Work, health and safety conditions	Applicable	The "authorisation" of companies to host trainees comprehends, as already mentioned the compliance with health and safety rules to safely undertake in company-training.



Criteria	Applicable?	Argumentation supporting the applicability
8. Regulatory Framework	Applicable	Unarguably, the deliverable 2.1 – Quality Assurance Guideline is a cornerstone in WOW project for a smooth development of the subsequent deliverables and reference. It states the rules, duties and rights for all stakeholders involved, including for the companies offering apprenticeships positions to be recognised/ "accredited" under EWF system.
9. Involvement of social partners	Applicable	The consortium is composed of sectoral representatives, namely the European Federation for Welding, Joining and Cutting (EWF) is covers the European scope and a national one: Asociación Española de Soldadura y Tecnologías de Unión (CESOL). These organisations have close relationship with industry representatives, aligning the apprenticeship schemes with industry views and requirements.
10. Support for companies	Not Applicable	Support for companies, under this project, is devised to occur in a first instance, through the tutorials on WBL procedures meant for this party for inquiries purposes.





Criteria	Applicable?	Argumentation supporting the applicability
		Funding and financial support for sharing costs provided for the companies is not applicable.
11. Flexible pathways and mobility	Applicable	These qualifications, as shown in the State-of-the Art Report (deliverable 1.1 – State-of-the-Art Report), are already linked to the EQF for some countries. This apprenticeship scheme is embedded in qualifications allowing the awarding of a common diploma that is recognised by the industry. The modular approach allows some competence units to be recognised across various qualifications (e.g. for Inspector and Coordinator) and the stand-alone validation of competence units. Besides the previous fact mentioned, the design of the 252 Guideline - Welding Coordinator Guideline enables progressing from vertically across qualification levels, without repeating mutual content.



Criteria	Applicable?	Argumentation supporting the applicability
12. Career guidance and awareness raising	Applicable	Different roles are considered for each stakeholder in respect to mentoring and tutoring to ensure the learner is kept on task and receives the necessary support. Please refer to the deliverable 2.1 – Quality Assurance Guideline.
13. Transparency	Applicable	Transparency is ensured for the public and all specific interested stakeholders as, firstly, the information regarding the guideline is available online (Part 1 - Public part and private respectively – syllabus) and, secondly, the EWF members (private companies and other organisations) have full access to the necessary documentation needed for implementing the scheme. The deliverables of the project will also be publicly available, as well as the tutorials tailored for each of the stakeholders explaining the process.
14. Quality assurance and graduate tracking	Applicable	EQAVET indicators are expected to be used to assess the apprenticeship scheme, which are detailed in the Deliverable 6.1 - Quality Plan and that will be monitored and reported within the deliverable 7.1 – Evaluation Kit.



Criteria	Applicable?	Argumentation supporting the applicability
		Mechanisms inside the platform were also developed to monitor and track the accomplishment of learning outcomes and mastering of job functions and job activities.
15. Implementation at national level	Applicable	It is aligned with industrial relations systems since some of the organisations are industrial and associations of industrial companies and the guideline is complying with what welding industry requires. Referring education and training practices – the deliverable 1.1 - State-of-the-Art report is gathering and highlights the good practices from each country of the consortia plus Germany and The Netherlands.
16. Support services	NA. EC's/Member States responsibility	
17. Awareness raising	N.A. EC's/ Member States responsibility	
18. Funding	NA.	-



Criteria	Applicable?	Argumentation supporting the applicability
	EC's / Member States responsibility	
19. Follow-up	N.A. EC's/ Member States responsibility	-



Examples of questions to assess the sustainability of the partnership against EWF Quality Assurance Criteria

Table 2 - E.g. presents examples of questions to be addressed by the stakeholders engaged in the work-based learning scheme to assess the selected criteria in table 1. The stakeholders involved have selected the type of organisations and representatives to assess them, according to the role and relevance and exposure to each criterion throughout the process.

Table 2 - E.g. Questions to assess the sustainability of the partnership, based in the selected criteria.

Criteria	Examples of Questions					
	EWF Quality Assurance Criteria					
Criteria	Trainee ATB Company ANB					
1. General quality of the partnership	1. Would you recommend this partnership for workbased learning?	1. Would you recommend this partnership for workbased learning?				



Criteria	Examples of Questions				
	EWF Quality Assurance Criteria				
Criteria	Trainee	ANB			
	Yes□ No□	Yes □No□	Yes□ No□	Yes □No□	
	If not, why?	If not, why?	If not, why?	If not, why?	
	Comments:	Comments:	Comments:	Comments:	
	2. Would you undertake	2. Would you be open to	2. Would you be open to	2. Has the partnership	
	in an	further engage in	further engage in	established for work-	
	internship/apprentice	extended/another	extend/another work-	based learning	
	with these	work-based learning	based learning	purposes complied	
	organisations?	arrangement with	arrangement with	with EWF's quality	
		these organisations?	these organisations?	assurance system?	
	Yes □No□	Yes □No□	Yes □No□	Yes□ No□	
	If not, why?	If not, why?	If not, why?	If not, why?	
	Comments:	Comments:	Comments:	Comments:	





Criteria	Examples of Questions					
	EV	EWF Quality Assurance Criteria				
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB		
2. Tutor	1. Did the tutor provide you the necessary support?	1. Did you provide support to the trainee?	1. Was the tutor in liaison with the company?	-		
	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:			
3. Mentor	1. Did the mentor provided you support?	1. Did the mentor has been in liaison with the ATB?	1. Did you provide support to the trainee?	1. The mentor revealed relevant qualification and experience?		
	Yes□ No□	Yes□ No□	Yes □No□	Yes□ No□		
	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:		
	Comments.	Comments.	Comments.	Comments.		



Criteria	Examples of Questions				
	EV	VF Quality Assurance Crite	eria		
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB	
4. In-company trainer	 Are you satisfied with the knowledge received from the in- company trainer? Yes □ No□ If not, why? Comments: 	1. Has the trainer revealed relevant qualification and experience? Yes □ No□ If not, why? Comments:	 1. Has the in-company trainer revealed relevant qualification and experience? Yes□ No□ If not, why? Comments:	 The trainer revealed relevant qualification and experience? Yes □No□ If not, why? Comments: 	
5. Facilities	1. Were the facilities clean? Yes □No □ If not, why? Comments:	Comments:	1. Were the facilities clean? Yes □No □ If not, why? Comments:	Comments:	



Criteria	Examples of Questions					
	EWF Quality Assurance Criteria					
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB		
	2. The facilities respected the Health and Safety regulation? Yes □ No □ If not, why? Comments:		2. The facilities respected the Health and Safety regulation?Yes □No □If not, why?Comments:			
6. Equipment		 Was there consistent number of equipment for WBL, related to the topics of the training? Yes □ No □ If not, why? Comments: 				





Criteria	Examples of Questions					
	EWF Quality Assurance Criteria					
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB		
	2. Did you had access and used the x during the training? Yes □ No □	•	2. Did you had access and used the x during the training? Yes □ No □	-		
	If not, why? Comments:		If not, why? Comments:			
7. Supporting Tools	helped you in WBL experience? Yes □ No □	relevant information and helped you in WBL experience? Yes □ No □	relevant information and helped you in WBL experience? Yes □ No □			
	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:			





Criteria	Examples of Questions					
	EWF Quality Assurance Criteria					
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB		
	2. Was the platform	2. Was the platform user-	2. Was the platform user-			
	user-friendly?	friendly?	friendly?			
	Yes □ No □	Yes □ No □	Yes □ No □			
	If not, why?	If not, why?	If not, why?			
	Comments:	Comments:	Comments:			

Examples of questions to assess the sustainability of the partnership against the European Council Recommendation

Table 3 - E.g. Questions to assess the sustainability of the partnership, based in the selected criteria (European Council Recommendation, 2018).presents the questions to be addressed by the stakeholders engaged in the work-based learning scheme to assess the selected criteria Table 1 related to European Council Recommendation (2018). The stakeholders involved have selected the type of organisations and representatives to assess them, according to the role and relevance and exposure to each criterion throughout the process.

Table 3 - E.g. Questions to assess the sustainability of the partnership, based in the selected criteria (European Council Recommendation, 2018).









Criteria	Questions and ranging scale					
	European Council Recommendation (2018)					
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB		
			Yes □ No □	Yes □ No □		
	If No, which area you feel is less covered?Click or tap	1. If No, which area you feel is less	2. If No, which area you feel is less	2. If No, which area you feel is less		
	here to enter text.	covered?Click or tap here to enter	covered?Click or tap here to enter	covered?Click or tap here to enter		
		text.	text.	text.		
3. Pedagogical Support	1. There was any contact/communication between in company trainers, mentors and vocational institution to	1. There was any contact/communication between in company trainers, mentors and vocational institution to	1. There was any contact/communication between in company trainers, mentors and vocational institution to	1. There was any contact/communication between in company trainers, mentors and vocational institution to		





Criteria	Questions and ranging scale					
	European Council Recommendation (2018)					
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB		
	manage pedagogical support? Yes □ No □	manage pedagogical support? Yes □ No □	manage pedagogical support? Yes □ No □	manage pedagogical support? Yes ⊠ No □		
	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:		
3. Workplace Component	1. Did the company accomplish the task through learning experience properly carried out in a workplace? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	accomplish the task through learning experience properly	through learning experience properly			



Criteria	Questions and ranging scale						
	European Council Recommendation (2018)						
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB			
	Comments:	Comments:	Comments:				
4. Pay and/or Compensation (Optional)	1. Did the contract include a pay and/or compensation for the trainee? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	1. Did the contract include a pay and/or compensation for the trainee? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	 1. Did the contract include a pay and/or compensation for the trainee? Yes □ No □ If not, why? 	 1. Did the contract include a pay and/or compensation for the trainee? Yes □ No □ If not, why? 			
	Note: this criterion needs verification to the extent to ensure that proper	Comments: Note: this criterion needs verification to the extent to ensure that proper	Note: this criterion needs verification to the extent to ensure that proper	Note: this criterion needs verification to the extent to ensure that proper			



Criteria	Questions and ranging scale					
	European Council Recommendation (2018)					
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB		
	social protection is enforced.	social protection is enforced.	social protection is enforced.	social protection is enforced.		
5. Social Protection	6. Did the contract include necessary insurance as required by national law and legislation? Yes □ No □	1. Did the contract include necessary insurance as required by national law and legislation?Yes □ No □	2. Did the contract include necessary insurance as required by national law and legislation? Yes □ No □	1. Did the contract include necessary insurance as required by national law and legislation?Yes □ No □		
	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments: Note: this criterion needs verification to the extent	If not, why? Comments: Note: this criterion needs verification to the extent to	If not, why? Comments:		





Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	Europea	an Council Recommendatio	n (2018)	
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
	Note: this criterion needs verification to the extent to ensure that proper social protection is enforced.	to ensure that proper social protection is enforced.		Note: this criterion needs verification to the extent to ensure that proper social protection is enforced.
7. Work, Health and Safety Conditions	about health and safety issues related to the apprenticeship, workplace and job-related risks? Yes □ No □ If not, why? Comments:		 Was the trainee informed about health and safety issues related to the apprenticeship, workplace and job-related risks? Yes □ No □ If not, why? Comments: 	





Criteria	Questions and ranging scale				
European Council Recommendation (2018)					
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB	
	2.Did you receive any	-	2.Did the trainee received	-	
	training on health and		any training on health and		
	safety issues related to the		safety issues related to the		
	tasks to be performed in		tasks to be performed in		
	the workplace?		the workplace?		
	Yes □ No □		Yes □ No □		
	If not, why?		If not, why?		
	Comments:		Comments:		
	3.Did you receive all	-	3.Did the trainee receive	-	
	necessary instruction to		all necessary instruction		
	manage Health and Safety		to manage Health and		
	issues?		Safety issues?		
			Yes □ No □		
	Co-funded by	This project has been co-funded with su the Commission. This publication reflects			



Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	Europea	an Council Recommendatio	n (2018)	
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
	Yes □ No □		If not, why?	
	If not, why? Comments:		Comments:	
	4. Did you get (or did you	-	4. Did the trainee get (or	-
	have access to) devices and		did you have access to)	
	PPE as required to manage		devices and PPE as	
	Health and Safety issues?		required to manage Health	
	Yes □ No □		and Safety issues?	
	If not, why?		Yes □ No □	
	Comments:		If not, why?	
			Comments:	
8. Regulatory	1. Was the principle	1. Was the principle	2. Was the principle	1. Was the principle
Framework	of Work Based Learning	of Work Based Learning	of Work Based Learning	of Work Based Learning
	opportunities, the rules,	opportunities, the rules,	opportunities, the rules,	opportunities, the rules,



Criteria	Questions and ranging scale					
	European Council Recommendation (2018)					
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB		
	your duties and rights of	your duties and rights of	your duties and rights of	your duties and rights of		
	EWS/EWP training and	EWS/EWP training and	EWS/EWP training and	EWS/EWP training and		
	qualification clearly made	qualification clearly made	qualification clearly made	qualification clearly made		
	available to you?	available to you?	available to you?	available to all		
	Yes □ No □	Yes □ No □	Yes □ No □	stakeholders?		
	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:	If not, why? Comments:	Yes □ No □ If not, why? Comments:		
	2. Were the rules for	3. Were the rules for	4. Were the rules for	5. Were the rules for		
	work-based learning	work-based learning	work-based learning	work-based learning		
	scheme, your duties and	scheme, your duties and	scheme, your duties and	scheme, your duties and		
	rights of EWS/EWP	rights of EWS/EWP	rights of EWS/EWP	rights of EWS/EWP		
	training clear?	training clear?	training clear?	training clear?		
	Yes □ No □					
	If not, why?	Yes □ No □	Yes □ No □	Yes □ No □		
	Co-funded by	This project has been co-funded with su	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			



Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	Europea	an Council Recommendatio	n (2018)	
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
		If not, why?	If not, why?	If not, why?
9. Involvement of Social Partners	-		1. Do you consider that this work-based learning scheme reflects the views of social partners? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	1. Do you consider that this workbased learning scheme reflects the views of social partners? Yes □ No □ If not, why?
10. Support for Companies	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
11. Flexible Pathways and Mobility	1. Was the incompany training	2. Was the incompany training	3. Was the incompany training	4. Was the incompany training





Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	Europea	an Council Recommendatio	n (2018)	
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
	period hold under a mobility programme? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	period hold under a mobility programme? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	period hold under a mobility programme? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	period hold under a mobility programme? Yes □ No □ If not, why?
12. Career Guidance and Awareness Raising	13. Do you consider that the mentoring and tutoring was consistent to keep you on task? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	 1. Do you consider that the tutoring was consistent to keep the trainee on task? Yes □ No □ If not, why? 	that the mentoring was	 1. Do you consider that the mentoring and tutoring was consistent to keep the trainee on task? Yes □ No □ If not, why?





Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	Europea	an Council Recommendatio	n (2018)	
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
13. Transparency	 Was all the necessary information related to the work-based learning process publicly available? Yes □ No □ If not, why? 	related to the work-based		necessary information related to the work-based
14. Quality Assurance and Graduate Tracking	 1. Do you consider that you have achieved the intended learning outcomes? Yes □ No □ If not, why? 	that the trainee has	 Do you consider that the trainee has achieved the intended learning outcomes? Yes No If not, why? 	





Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	European Council Recommendation (2018)			
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
	2. Do you consider that the	2. Do you consider	3. Do you consider	-
	skills / knowledge	that the skills / knowledge	that the skills / knowledge	
	achieved through the	achieved by the trainee	achieved by the trainee	
	apprenticeship scheme	through the apprenticeship	through the apprenticeship	
	allows you to progress in	scheme will allow him/her	scheme will allow him/her	
	your career?	to progress inside your	to progress inside your	
	Yes □ No □	company?	company?	
	If not, why?	Yes □ No □	Yes □ No □	
		If not, why?	If not, why?	
	3. Do you consider that the	3.Do you consider that the	3.Do you consider that the	3.Do you consider that the
	tools used for continuous	tools used for continuous	tools used for continuous	tools used for continuous
	monitoring (logbook,	monitoring (logbook,	monitoring (logbook,	monitoring (logbook,
	interviews, questionnaires,	interviews, questionnaires,	interviews, questionnaires,	interviews, questionnaires,
	projects, products created)	projects, products created)	projects, products created)	projects, products created)
	Co-funded by		the views only of the	



Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	Europea	an Council Recommendatio	n (2018)	
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
ai sl ac Y	and end-point assessment are suitable to assess the skills and competences achieved by the trainee? Yes No If not, why?	and end-point assessment are suitable to assess the skills and competences achieved by the trainee? Yes □ No □ If not, why? 1. Do you consider that this work-based learning scheme aligned with needs of the welding industry? Yes □ No □ If not, why?	are suitable to assess the	are suitable to assess the skills and competences achieved by the trainee? Yes No If not, why?





Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	Europe	an Council Recommendatio	n (2018)	
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
	-	2.Do you consider that the	-	2.Do you consider that the
		work-based learning		work-based learning
		scheme could be		scheme could be
		applicable to your national		applicable to your national
		education and training		education and training
		practices?		practices?
		Yes □ No □		Yes □ No □
		If not, why?		If not, why?
		1. Do you consider	2. Do you consider	3. Do you consider
		that the implementation /	that the implementation /	that the implementation /
		monitoring of the	monitoring of the	monitoring of the
		apprenticeship scheme in	apprenticeship scheme in	apprenticeship scheme in





Criteria	Questions and ranging scale			
	Europea	an Council Recommendatio	n (2018)	
Criteria	Trainee	ATB	Company	ANB
		your country was an easy process?	your country was an easy process?	your country was an easy process?
		Yes □ No □ If not, why?	Yes □ No □ If not, why?	Yes □ No □ If not, why?
16. Support Services	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
17. Awareness Raising	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
18. Funding	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
19. Follow-up	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.



Additional Questions

The questions presented in this section were drafted to be potentially embedded in other assessment instruments (survey or focus group discussions) in other stage of the project (e.g. after the piloting events). The idea is to have a more qualitative and in-depth feedback supporting the finetune of the instruments and structure and quality assurance of the work-based learning scheme, having as reference the criteria listed in this document.

PARTNERSHIP

Stakeholder	Trainee		
Questions	1. What's your opinion about length of the in-company training period? Do you consider it adequate, too long or too short? Why?		
	2. Do you consider that you have gained more knowledge and skills relevant for your professional career?		
	3. Do you consider this WBL system has room for improvement? What could be improved?		

Stakeholder	ATB		
Questions	4. Would you be open to liaison on the promotion of the WBL at national level, in your national education system?		
	5. Do you consider this WBL system has room for improvement? What could be improved?		
	6. Has the partnership established for work-based learning purposes complied with EWF's quality assurance system? If not, why?		



Stakeholder	Company
	1. What's your opinion about length of the in-company training period? Do you consider it adequate, too long or too short? Why?
Question	2. Do you consider this WBL system has room for improvement? What would you improve?

Stakeholder	ANB
Question	Has the partnership established for work-based learning purposes complied with EWF's quality assurance system?
	If not. Why?

TUTOR

Stakeholder	Trainee
Question	 Are you satisfied regarding the communication and collaboration between the ATB and the company? What could be improved?

Stakeholder	ATB
Question	 Are you satisfied regarding the communication and collaboration between the ATB and the company? What could be improved?

Stakeholder	Company





Question	1.	Are	you	satisfied	regarding	the	communication	and
		colla	aborat	ion between	en the ATB	and	the company?	
	2.	Wha	it coul	ld be impr	oved?			

MENTOR

Stakeholder	Trainee
Question	1. Has the mentor provided a comprehensive overview on the activities to be performed in the company?
	2. Was the experience and qualification of the tutor adequate for the purpose?

Stakeholder	ATB
Question	1. Was the experience and qualification of the tutor adequate
	for the purpose?

IN COMPANY TRAINER

Stakeholder	Trainee
Question	Had the in-company trainer complied with the activities performed described in the learning plan?

Stakeholder	ATB			
Question	1. Had the in-company trainer complied with the activities			
	performed described in the learning plan?			





Stakeholder	Company
Question	Was the in-company trainer complying with the activities
	performed described in the learning plan?

FACILITIES

Stakeholder	Trainee
Question	1. Is there any suggestion to improve the quality of the company's facilities that you would like to give?

Stakeholder	ATB
Question	Is there any suggestion to improve the quality of the company's facilities that you would like to give?

PEDAGOGICAL SUPPORT

Stakeholder	Trainee
Question	1. Please provide details on how the pedagogical support was provided to you.

EQUIPMENT





Stakeholder	Trainee
Question	Has the company provided personal protective equipment to you?

WORKPLACE COMPONENTS

Stakeholder	Trainee
Question	1. Was a substantial part of the learning experience carried out in a workplace?
	2. In your opinion, do you feel that you have gained/mastered your professional and transversal skills as a welding coordinator?

Stakeholder	Company
Question	1. In your opinion, do you consider that the trainee has gained/mastered his/her professional and transversal skills as a welding coordinator?

PAY AND/OR COMPENSATION

Stakeholder	Trainee
Question	Did you receive any financial compensation for the training period carried out in-company setting?



2. In case you have received a financial compensation, do
you consider that the financial compensation received was
adequate?
Note: this clause needs verification to the extent to ensure that proper social protection is enforced.

Stakeholder	Company
Question	 Did the contract include a pay and/or compensation for the trainee? If not, what was the reason behind?



Conclusion

This document aimed shed some light on the foundational documents and criteria to use as reference for the assessment of the sustainability of the work-based learning partnerships established at national level.

The questions designed are a sample of the inquiry to be done to match the criteria listed. The structure of the answers might be subjected to change, shifting from to a multiple-choice definition to a rating option (e.g. 0-5), according to the matrix that is going to be embedded into the database of the platform that is currently being developed.

The additional questions might serve as a backbone for the discussions that are going to be held in the focus group meetings after the piloting events in the Work Package 4. The results will be reported in the deliverable "4.2 – Report on the piloting events". Any improvements to be done to the EWF's quality assurance scheme for work-based learning (after an analysis of the answers based on these criteria) that eventually arise will be included in the deliverable 5.1 – "Recommendations for EWF's Quality Assurance System".



References

Council Recommendation (2018).

Council Recommendation of 15 March 2018 on a European Framework for Quality and Effective Apprenticeships, OJ C 153, 2.5.2018, p. 1–6.

European Commission (n.a.). High-Performance Apprenticeships & work-based learning: 20 guiding principles. Available at: http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/files/2 12 2 appr 20principles en.pdf